

Journal of Public Policy & Governance



Citizen Involvement in the Formulation of Public Policy in USA

Persson Kymlicka Holmes

ISSN: 2616-8413

Citizen Involvement in the Formulation of Public Policy in USA

Persson Kymlicka Holmes

Postgraduate student, Yale University

Email of the corresponding author: kymlickaholmes@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Holmes, P., K. (2021). Citizen Involvement in the Formulation of Public Policy in USA. Journal of Public Policy & Governance, 5(3), 11-20

Abstract

The purpose of the study was to examine the importance of involvement of the people formulation of public policy in USA. The study make conclusion and recommendations based on the literature reviewed. Based on the literature reviewed, it was found that involvement of people in policy formulation influence the performance of the projects positively. It was established that involvement incorporate having work structures that enables people/ citizen to systematically give their input into decisions that affect them. The preceding studies showed that involving people in decision-making has many advantage. There is better quality of decisions, better understanding of the strategy, better organizational learning and higher commitment to the strategy undertaken by the government. Moreover, it was found that involvement of the citizen in policy formulation minimizes the chances of resistance. The significance of the involvement in policy formulation is that it boosts morale and makes people involved psychologically. A person with high confidence are more engaged, happy and enjoy their work. The study concluded that involvement in policy formulation is positively related to performance. The study concluded that consultation enables easy supervision of work. The research also concluded that education is essential for both parties who are participating towards high quality public policy formulation as it would certainly reduce unnecessary manipulation and the problem brought on by lack of knowledge, accountability and transparency and understanding of each party's requirements. The study recommended that culture of involvement need to be adopted by the public sector in USA. The employees need to be part and parcel of policy formulation in the company. The involvement of the employees in the policy formulation will lower their resistance and will work toward a common objective/goal. The study also recommended that culture of public involvement must be urged by both levels of government through public education and learning, also there is demand to create the infrastructure for public engagement, including information centers, public communication structures, modalities and systems for involvement, petition treatments, referenda guidelines and public problems systems.

Keywords: *Involvement, formulation of Public Policy, USA*

1.0 Background of the Study

The public policy formulation belongs to the pre-decision stage of policy making including crafting the goals and top priorities and options, expenses and benefits of each choice, externalities of each alternative (Sidney, 2017). The major goal of public participation is to urge the public to have purposeful input right into the decision making process. Public participation hence gives the opportunity for interaction in between companies making decisions and the general public. The engagement with people improves the high quality of plan being developed, making it extra functional and appropriate, and also assisting to guarantee that services are delivered in an extra effective and efficient way. Parliament's duty and end results are to stand out for individuals and guarantee government by the individuals under the constitution, and to represent the provinces and also local government in the nationwide sphere of government. Policy formulation indicates developing a method to solving a problem (Elrod, 2018). Congress, the executive branch, the courts, and single-interest group may be included. Inconsistent proposals are usually made. The president might have one method to immigration reform, and the opposition party members of congress might have one more.

Citizen's involvement, likewise known as public participation, is the incorporation of the general public in the activities of any company, project or activity. The principle of public engagement holds that those who are impacted by a choice have a right to be involved in the choice making process (Coenen, 2019). There are a number of reasons involvement is very important for community advancement. Citizen participation is not just a way to aid citizens in enhancing their life to top quality but it can serve the government, public consultations, ecological groups and charities. People have a collection of rights and duties, consisting of the right to take part in choices that affect public welfare. Generally public participation looks for and promotes the involvement of those possibly influenced by or interested in a certain choice. This can be in regard to people, governments, organizations, companies or any other entities that impact public interests. Resident's involvement implies that the general public's payment will certainly affect the choice additionally it may be considered a type of empowerment and as essential part of democratic governance.

In some nations people's involvement has actually become a main principle of the public law making. In the UK and USA it has actually been observed that all stages of government have begun to develop citizen and stakeholder interaction right into their plan making process (Holmes, 2018). This might consist of wide range consultations, focus group study, on-line conversation forums, or intentional resident's juries. Citizen's involvement is deemed as a tool, meant to notify planning, organizing or funding of activities. Citizen's involvement might also be used to measure achievable objectives, assess impact, and identify lessons for future method. In United States of America, public involvement in administrative rulemaking refers to the process through which suggested guidelines undergo public remark for a specific time period. Public participation is normally obligatory for guidelines promoted by executive firms of the United States federal government (Kanu, Tyonum & Uchegbu, 2018). There are benefits that can be derived from a reliable resident involvement program which include information and concepts on public concerns; public assistance for planning decisions; avoidance of drawn-out conflicts and expensive delays; reservoir of goodwill which can rollover to future decisions; spirit of participation and trust in between the company and also the general public.

Public policy formulation is a process that calls for different stakeholders and might be a challenging one or lead to failure if some people are left out of the planning process. It is essential

that citizens are engaged at the initiation of any type of public policy for functions of possession and smooth plan formulation and application. Including people in the formula of public policy is essential for modern-day governments. It ought to be kept in mind that people involvement informs the general public and creates a feeling of citizenship (Molokwane, 2018). People involvement is equally crucial as it is required in developing dedication and capability of the government and people, the government ought to spend adequate time, sources and commitment in structure robust legal policy and institutional frameworks thus establishing ideal devices and examining their own efficiency in engaging residents in plan production. An effective people participation program should be indispensable to the preparation process. The program must additionally be concentrated on its one-of-a-kind needs, developed to work with readily available sources and with responsive to the citizens who get involved. It is considerable to involve people in public policy formulation so as to sooth tension and dispute over public decisions.

Citizen's participation is a central pillar of democratic administration for this reason, information need to be availed to the general public similarly, government expects residents to usher it with information. Badly designed and poor steps for information, appointment and energetic engagement in policy making can threaten government relationships with its citizens. The involvement of public in plan formulation can be reliable only when that decision can have an effect in their community. That policy ought to have a rate of interest in the community demand or else there is an opportunity of being rejected by the people (Kymlicka and Norman, 2020). Citizen's involvement's performance and efficiency are jeopardized by the troubles encountered by the person when it concerns recognizing the technical records and the complex preparation problems. People are called for to be a lot more associated with the general public plan formulation process and have a much better understanding of the exact same process for instance, a genuinely liable government will certainly ensue that residents understand and proactively think about plan selections that are prior to the society.

A study by Fung (2020) argued that the primary goal of public authorities targeted at participation of citizens is to boost the decision making process and the high quality of service, consequently public authorities include people in order to achieve much better impacts in government. Some public managers and academics firmly insist that a citizen is rather the proprietor of the government and because of this; residents are not just the masses following the elites being the government. They have power and can definitely control the government. Therefore, entailing citizens at the policy formulation stage gives a possibility to people to have their government make choices notified by their input. In order for the government to correctly pick what to do, the citizens should be involved given that they understand and ensure what they desire from the government. Resident's participation is perceived as one of the milestones of freedom and regional administration (Freeman, 2019). Public engagement in planning and execution of policy thus is crucial for maintaining democracy and promoting good local governance and administration.

Citizen involvement strengthens government, the relationships with citizens and is an extensive financial investment in better policy-making and a core part of excellent administration. People involvement better promotes autonomous concepts such as political equality, majority policy, preferred sovereignty and popular consultation (Enserink and Koppenjan, 2017). In USA, the nation has various discussion forums where areas and citizens can reveal their sights on public policy concerns and these consist of: attending public appointment teams on the policy problems in question; government initiated mandate; Legal hearings; Political elections; Calling media;

Royal Commissions; Town hall meetings; City center conferences; Studies and opinion polls; Policy round tables; Applications, demonstrations, letter-writing campaigns or various other campaigning approaches. Numerous firms or people choose to leave out or decrease people involvement in planning efforts declaring person participation is also pricey and time consuming. Numerous person participation programs are initiated in response to public reaction to a recommended activity or action. However, there are benefits that can be gotten from a reliable citizen participation program. It is substantial to include residents in public policy formula so as to pacify tension and problem over public choices.

2.1 Literature Review

Citizen's involvement is an international concern according to the tenets of the contemporary freedom. Hence several democratic governments have devised devices for people to get involved straight in decision-making and execution of those choices in real programmes and jobs. Although USA does not have specific stipulations for required public participation, its democratic method has actually nurtured a culture of public practice that throughout the years has actually made it feasible for the majority of decision-making process to entail public participation. Quick and Bryson (2018) noted that in democracies, people are essential stakeholders that have the ability to get involved either directly or indirectly in governance. Indirect involvement involves electing leaders to represent the passions of citizens while direct participation entails doing something about it as citizens. Resident's participation is an essential part of the public and government connection in democratic governance. Individuals and people's participation coordinators might not settle on what makes up a great process if they have various underlying objectives and expectations for that process.

The Australian experience in people's involvement shows that government agencies, ministries and departments engage citizens in the policy formulation process. The Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources dedicates its officers to 6 elements of an appointment process in connection with plan solution and application (Weimer and Vining, 2020). When creating plan guidance, they get in touch with commonly; give reasonable time frames for its residents to reply to policy propositions; explain the process; and guarantee that the contribution to plans is balanced and pertinent to the Government's broader economic and social objectives. After making policy choices, they offer details to citizens regarding choices that affect them; and citizens would certainly after that be spoken with throughout the application. To promote and set a culture of accountability and transparency in governance and also service delivery by enhancing nationwide and community accountability mechanisms to make them more appropriate, credible, helpful, supportive/attractive to all residents, leaders and non-state entities.

In Brazil, despite experiencing obstacles in citizen participation, the metropolitan health councils belong to a movement that in which civil culture and political actors have actually signed up with pressures to institutionalize political rooms for people engagement in policymaking (Antlöv and Wetterberg, 2019). The Brazilian experience shows that unlike an extensively held sight of civil culture as different from the political world, an emerging issue in Latin America shows that civil culture, the state and also political celebrations are carefully linked. The development of civil culture has a considerable impact on the political society. Civil culture leaders connected to, and very closely worked with political leaders to assist elect prospects and influence public law. Area leaders and reformist political leaders created by political partnerships based on the suggestion that people ought to be straight incorporated right into the policymaking process. Citizens

participate in public places at a range of times throughout the year, therefore permitting them to be associated with policy development, choice, and oversight (Wampler and McNulty, 2019). The addition of citizens in state-sanctioned places indicates that they are now in consistent contact with government officials.

The well-known democratic governments typically relate to resident involvement as a way of having social stress and enhancing the lasting prospects of democracy through discussion and consensus- structure at the local level (Macedo, 2018). Resident involvement is additionally viewed as a way of boosting performance in service distribution by presenting higher transparency into metropolitan resource allocation regarding far better reflect the broad rate of interests of the population. Civil society offers the citizen-based mobilization required to create vivid public considerations along with to engage in step-by-step policymaking processes. Conceptually, the line in between where the state finishes and where civil society begins is increasingly obscured. Efficient involvement of people in policy making goes to the heart of open government reforms and has the prospective to restore the connection between policy makers and residents. Greater public involvement will cause much more democratic decision making and, subsequently, boost the accountability. The push for public involvement policies presumes that people wish to participate in operative citizenship or deliberative democracy.

Rather than strengthening connections in between both main spheres of politics: the state and civil society, this discussion enhances the distance in between them. It implies that the elites will be unchecked in choosing regarding the usage and destiny of public goods, while the regulated resolve to have nothing to do with politics or public decision-making because of the government's perceived illegitimacy. Citizens are additionally becoming aware that the social order to which they belong can be transformed, which a dedication is needed to recognize this transformation for the basic advantage of the general public great (Bartlett and Ghoshal, 2020). The effects of this tacit interdependence between state and citizens show that the representatives for social change in these two political spheres are experiencing a demand to modify their ways of connecting in order to collectively face the problems that impact them. This change calls for new behavior from actors and organizations from both spheres. The state ought to identify its inability to address all the issues of culture (Jessop, 2018). It has to realize that funds are limited, which raises the significance of approving inputs and assist from the civil society.

Citizens and the civil society need to change their actions, leaving individualism and inertia in order to end up being involved in public and democratic activities oriented towards transforming the existing social order (Kingston, 2020). Both sides have to raise their awareness of the social definition of their involvement and also of public policy in general, consisting of worldwide collaboration, help and proactively join in promoting resident empowerment. Concrete activities and programs need to be developed that are oriented in the direction of enhancing citizen involvement in the autonomous decision-making processes. Extra in-depth and target-oriented plan formulation would enhance straight types of citizen involvement and promotion of citizen engagement at both the formulation and the application phase (Wilker, Rusche & Ryma-Fitschen, 2019). There must be an increase in real citizen involvement in political affairs and promote combination between government officials and citizens, resulting in a brand-new form of resident diplomacy for state structure. There is demand to have a phase which would certainly work as a bridge between presentation of ingenious work and the identification of an inter-institutional international strategy that should cause the solution of new policies and also recommendations.

Governments must invest appropriate time, sources and commitment in structuring a robust lawful, plan and institutional frameworks, establishing proper tools and evaluating their very own performance in engaging residents in policy making. Badly developed and poor procedures for information, appointment and active participation in plan making can undermine government-citizen relations. A study by Goetz and Jenkins (2019) suggested that governments may look for to inform, seek advice from and engage citizens in order to boost the quality, integrity and legitimacy of their policy choices just to create the opposite result if citizens discover that their initiatives to remain informed, supply feedback and actively get involved are overlooked, have no influence in all on the decisions reached or remain unaccounted for. Meeting the difficulties of the emerging information in society, to plan for greater and faster interactions with people and guarantee far better understanding governance. Integration of public input right into the policy making process, in order to react to person's expectations that their voices be listened to, and their sights are thought about in decision making by the governments (Kathlene and Martin, 2021).

Governments are no more expected to have all the services internally, but rather to play the duty of coordinating and facilitating a collective process of policy growth. No choice is value free; hence relying entirely on fact-based specialist opinions in decision-making is limiting and paints a narrow picture of fact. Ignoring public values is short-sighted and inevitably causes disgruntled components. Choices that are perceived by the public as legit are much more quickly arrived at when citizen's values are considered. Via a well-structured procedure of dialogue and consideration, parties who disagree might concern recognize why the others hold the position they do, substantially assisting in the lengthy trip in the direction of commonalities or settings from which concession is extra conveniently attained (Sheedy, MacKinnon, Pitre & Watling, 2018). Through resident involvement process, connections of trust are developed. Giving residents suitable public rooms to come to reasoned collective choices makes it far more likely that people will come to more public minded less privately driven responses to public policy troubles. Resident involvement requires to be integrated in plan growth to the same degree that professionals, stakeholders and single-interest group are presently consulted (Persson, 2020). Greater trust fund can be built in the political process if participants of the general public do not regard these efforts to occur just when it is convenient and important to a larger political schedule.

Many obstacles to widespread fostering of citizen involvement are the outcome of prevalent incorrect presumptions that citizens cannot realize complicated scientific and social problems, and that they are unconcerned with matters that do not directly affect them (Fischer, 2019). There is both a cultural and structural project ahead if resident involvement is to be implemented throughout governments. Some of the top-down experiences are led by global donors that require governments to adopt participatory establishments to get aid. The rewards for government officials to set up participatory organizations under these circumstances are fairly different than those for government officials who start the programs on their own. In India, numerous leaders fear that approving resident's power in decision-making will lower their own power, for that reason they overlook the lawful framework that calls for boosted involvement in metropolitan areas. In other instances, leaders may capture the procedure for their very own political gain. A major obstacle to resident's involvement is the capacity of the general public to access documents relating to the spending plan conveniently and in a type that they can comprehend (Levenda, Keough, Rock & Miller, 2020). Actions ought to be required to improve budget transparency to enhance citizen's participation.

Although numerous participatory institutions have effectively involved poor people, it is clear that standard structural and inspirational problems continue to constrict participation. Therefore, we need to prevent seeing these organizations as a cure that helps us to solve standard engagement problems; rather, we must think of them as boosting exactly how people take part. It would certainly appear that the most marginalized teams in communities, such as women, incredibly inadequate, and ethnic minorities, are not constantly well represented in these new type of people's involvement programs. This can bring about policies and tasks that give the groups opportunity that do participate in, recommending those residents' involvement as just partially overcome the biases related to representative democracy and participation. Participatory organizations supply some of the advances however continued testing ought to be expected (Fung and Wright, 2021). A lot of the same leaders, the same individuals show up at all the occasions. This can be conceptualized as elite capture, where a tiny group of organized people gain control of participatory institutions. On the other hand, this can be deemed network structure, whereby community leaders are trying to occupy several participatory locations in order to represent the rate of interests of their community.

Efficient citizen's involvement requires enforceable standards and principles which as a result require legislation to define and enforce morals throughout the public sector (Benson, 2019). National public regulations should be passed to apply the national plan on public involvement, establishing the parameters for public involvement. This legislation should be binding on both nationwide and county governments. It must establish clear minimum criteria for efficient public participation to be observed by all state organizations, state officers and public officers with effects for non-compliance. Making it possible for regulations to promote accessibility to information for reliable people's involvement must be enacted. This consists of the flexibility of information act and regulation to encourage non-state actors and the media to accessibility preparation and budget plan information in a timely and easy-to-understand form. Devoted offices/officers to assist in public engagement should consequently be established or assigned at the nationwide and region level (Tsabedze, 2019). The offices should create the framework for public participation, consisting of information centers, public interaction frameworks, methods and systems for engagement, application treatments, referenda guidelines and also public problems systems.

3.1 Research Findings

The research study found that citizen participation is a much wider concern that is work in progress, a continual strategy; therefore it needs all stakeholders to continually educate themselves in order to promote up-to-date, up to day efficient participation. Citizen participation in the formulation of public policy boosts democratic practice. Public assessment allows and promotes participation, therefore managing issues that can emerge when the general public is omitted from a decision-making process. Involvement of citizens with consultation improves the top quality of plan being created, making it extra useful and appropriate, and helping to make sure that product and services are delivered in a more reliable and efficient way.

Furthermore, the study found that the method of a top down approach in policy formulation strategy results in conception of policies whose outcomes and results frequently do not reverberate well with the ordinary citizens. Policy formulation is partially a political process; any kind of evaluation of political procedures ought to identify truths and institutional partnerships that are shown in daily occasions. They require to be recognized to assist in understanding where, who and exactly how to lobby to attain your objectives in policy growth or reform. Formulation of public

policy that fails at execution stage, expense government substantial amount of money at both creation and cessation. Relating to employing several methods of resident engagement as it can come to be troublesome and time consuming; it can even trigger a lot of hold-up within an usual decision if a larger area is enjoyed the plan formulation process.

4.1 Conclusion

The study concluded that resident involvement is critical to the presence of any type of democratic system or government. In order to reinforce and preserve excellent relationships among the resident and the government reliable communicative-involvement should happen. Furthermore, citizen participation gives a two-way understanding procedure where the government gains from the consultative responses from citizens and also residents likewise acquire expertise on just how the government runs. This shared education and learning is important for both parties in adding towards high quality public policy formulation as it would certainly minimize unneeded adjustment and conflict caused by lack of understanding, liability and openness, understanding of one celebration's needs, scenarios, expectations, and inability of the government to calculate accordingly without leaving any kind of group unrepresented.

Moreover, the study concluded that citizen incorporation in policy formulation makes certain social equals' rights are addressed. This forces government to make every effort to bridge such differences via applying social equity policies and because of this, it is crucial for the citizen to be part of the general public policy formulation and must not be viewed as the simple spectators or targets waiting on the government to provide the public services to them. Citizens are an important part of the government. They are the specialists of their very own circumstance and the government can only access such truths when residents are actively included as representatives of adjustment in the public plan making process.

5.1 Recommendations

The study recommended that governments need the tools, information and capacity to evaluate their efficiency in providing details, carrying out consultation and engaging citizens in order to adjust new demands and altering conditions for policy making. People involvement need to be carried out as early in the policy process as possible to permit a greater variety of plan options to emerge and to increase the possibilities of effective implementation. Adequate time should be offered for assessment and participation to be efficient. Information is required in all phases of the policy cycle. Person's rights to access information, offer feedback, be consulted as well as proactively participate in policy making should be strongly based in legislation or policy. A society of public engagement ought to be encouraged by both levels of government through public education. Citizen apathy and expectation of financial reward for involvement in public discussion forums need to be prevented through development of civic duty recognition. Resident's participation need to be improved in both levels of government through collaboration between the governments, non-state stars and development partners. The offices must establish the facilities for public involvement, including information centers, public communication frameworks, methods and platforms for engagement, application process, referenda standards and public problems systems.

REFERENCES

- Antlöv, H., & Wetterberg, A. (2019). Citizen engagement, deliberative spaces and the consolidation of a post-authoritarian democracy: The case of Indonesia. *Journal of public Policy*, 5(2), 18-21
- Bartlett, C. A., & Ghoshal, S. (2020). Building competitive advantage through people. *MIT Sloan management review*, 43(2), 34.
- Benson, B. L. (2019). Enforcement of private property rights in primitive societies: law without government. *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, 9(1), 1-26.
- Coenen, F. H. J. M. (2019). Public participation and better environmental decisions. The promise and limits of participatory processes for the quality of environmentally related decision-making. *Internal Journal of Governnace*, 3(6), 176-182
- Elrod, A. L. (2018). Teacher Tenure Reform: *Journal of Problem Definition in Policy Formulation*, 78(9), 5-27.
- Enserink, B., & Koppenjan, J. (2017). Public participation in China: sustainable urbanization and governance. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 6 (1), 17-22
- Fischer, F. (2019). Citizen participation and the democratization of policy expertise: From theoretical inquiry to practical cases. *Policy sciences*, 26(3), 165-187.
- Freeman, C. (2019). Local government and emerging models of participation in the Local Agenda 21 process. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 39(1), 65-78.
- Fung, A. (2020). Varieties of participation in complex governance. *Public administration review*, 6(2), 66-75.
- Fung, A., & Wright, E. O. (2021). Deepening democracy: Innovations in empowered participatory governance. *Journal of Politics & society*, 29(1), 5-41.
- Goetz, A. M., & Jenkins, R. (2019). Hybrid forms of accountability: citizen engagement in institutions of public-sector oversight in India. *Journal of Public Management Review*, 3(7), 363-383.
- Holmes, B. (2018). Citizens' engagement in policymaking and the design of public services. Canberra: Parliamentary Library.
- Jessop, B. (2018). The rise of governance and the risks of failure: The case of economic development. *International social science journal*, 50(155), 29-45.
- Kanu, E. J., Tyonum, E. T., & Uchegbu, S. N. (2018). Public participation in environmental impact assessment (EIA): a critical analysis. *Architecture and Engineering*, 3(1), 18-22
- Kathlene, L., & Martin, J. A. (2021). Enhancing citizen participation: Panel designs, perspectives, and policy formation. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 10(1), 46-63.
- Kingston, J. (2020). Japan's quiet transformation: Social change and civil society in the twenty-first century. Psychology Press.

- Kymlicka, W., & Norman, W. (2020). Return of the citizen: A survey of recent work on citizenship theory. *Ethics*, 104(2), 352-381.
- Levenda, A. M., Keough, N., Rock, M., & Miller, B. (2020). Rethinking public participation in the smart city. *The Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe canadien*, 64(3), 344-358.
- Molokwane, T. (2018). Citizen involvement in the formulation of public policy. International Conference on Public Administration and Development Alternatives (IPADA).
- Persson, T. (2020). Democratizing European chemicals policy: do consultations favour civil society participation? *Journal of Civil Society*, 3(3), 223-238.
- Sidney, M. S. (2017). Policy formulation: design and tools. In *Handbook of public policy analysis* (pp. 105-114). Routledge.
- Tsabadze, V. W. (2019). Records management in government ministries in Swaziland (Doctoral dissertation, University of Zululand).
- Weimer, D. L., & Vining, A. R. (2020). *Policy analysis: Concepts and practice*. Taylor & Francis.
- Wilker, J., Rusche, K., & Ryma-Fitschen, C. (2019). Improving participation in green infrastructure planning. *Journal of Planning Practice & Research*, 31(3), 229-249.