Journal of Procurement & Supply Chain

Neural Networks & Government Spending - Algorithmic Decision- Making in Public Procurement

Dr. Solomon Kyalo Mutangili

ISSN: 2717-3581

Stratford Peer Reviewed Journals and Book Publishing
Journal of Procurement & Supply Chain
Volume 9||Issue 1||Page 49-54 ||March||2025|
Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2717-3581

Neural Networks & Government Spending - Algorithmic Decision- Making in Public Procurement

Book Review by

Solomon Kyalo Mutangili

***How to cite this article*: Mutangili S. K. (2024). Neural Networks & Government Spending - Algorithmic Decision- Making In Public Procurement. *Journal of Procurement & Supply Chain. Vol* 9(1) pp. 49-54.** https://doi.org/10.53819/81018102t2468

Abstract

This review critically examines Neural Networks & Government Spending: Algorithmic Decision-Making in Public Procurement, a timely exploration of the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on public sector procurement processes. The book investigates how neural networks are increasingly shaping decisions in government spending, displacing traditional bureaucratic discretion with data-driven algorithms. Drawing from real-world case studies, the author explores the potential of AI to enhance efficiency, detect procurement fraud, and mitigate corruption, while simultaneously highlighting the legal, ethical, and operational risks posed by opaque and biased algorithms. Central to the discussion is the tension between algorithmic efficiency and the democratic need for transparency and accountability. The book interrogates the use of private technology firms in designing procurement systems, raising concerns about vendor lock-in, explainability, and public sector dependency on proprietary AI models. The book underscores the importance of governance structures, advocating for open-source models, stakeholder engagement, and regulatory safeguards to prevent algorithmic injustice and ensure public trust. Through comparative analyses and forward-looking perspectives, the book extends its implications beyond procurement to broader areas of public finance, calling for adaptive legislation and ethical oversight in the digital age. Ultimately, the work presents a compelling argument for integrating AI into public procurement not as a replacement for human judgment, but as an assistive tool governed by robust accountability mechanisms.

Stratford Peer Reviewed Journals and Book Publishing
Journal of Procurement & Supply Chain
Volume 9||Issue 1||Page 49-54 ||March||2025|
Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2717-3581

Introduction

The application of neural networks in public procurement represents a profound shift in how governments manage spending, shifting from human discretion to algorithmic precision. The book delves into the increasing role of artificial intelligence in public finance, specifically within procurement systems that historically relied on bureaucratic processes and subjective decision-making. With governments handling massive expenditures on goods and services, the need for efficiency and transparency has become paramount. The text methodically explores the complexities of integrating machine learning models into procurement frameworks, illustrating how these algorithms optimize vendor selection, detect fraud, and mitigate corruption. Drawing from real-world examples, the discussion highlights the balance between automation and legal constraints, emphasizing that while machine learning can enhance efficiency, it also introduces significant concerns regarding accountability and oversight. By addressing the potential for biased outcomes in algorithmic decision-making, the book underscores the challenge of aligning artificial intelligence with principles of fairness and public trust.

Review

While the traditional procurement processes often involve layers of human oversight, the integration of neural networks reconfigures these mechanisms, shifting power from individuals to data-driven models that assess variables at a scale impossible for human evaluators. The work critically examines how procurement algorithms are trained, focusing on the data sets that shape their outputs and the risks posed by inadequate or skewed training data. The issue of explainability emerges as a central theme, as neural networks often operate as black boxes, making it difficult for public officials to justify procurement decisions in legal or ethical terms. The need for interpretability in AI-driven procurement is thoroughly analyzed, illustrating the tensions between algorithmic efficiency and the democratic imperative for transparency in government spending.

The discussion extends to the implications of using neural networks in detecting procurement fraud, highlighting the potential of AI models to identify anomalies in bidding patterns, contract awards, and supplier behaviors. By leveraging historical data, these systems can pinpoint irregularities that may indicate collusion or favoritism, thereby strengthening the integrity of public expenditure. However, while these tools offer the promise of enhanced oversight, the book raises essential concerns about false positives and the consequences of overreliance on automated judgments. Procurement is not merely a mechanical process but one deeply intertwined with political, economic, and social factors, meaning that purely data-driven approaches risk oversimplifying the nuances of governmental decision-making.

The book explores case studies in jurisdictions where AI has already been implemented in procurement, revealing both successes and failures. Some governments have witnessed improvements in cost savings and vendor diversity, while others have encountered legal challenges arising from the opacity of algorithmic decision-making. In many instances, the reliance on AI-generated recommendations has sparked debates about the extent to which machine learning

Stratford Peer Reviewed Journals and Book Publishing
Journal of Procurement & Supply Chain
Volume 9||Issue 1||Page 49-54 ||March||2025|
Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2717-3581

should replace human judgment, especially in high-stakes contracts affecting national infrastructure and social programs. The text highlights that while AI enhances efficiency, it cannot entirely eliminate discretion, as government procurement involves policy-driven objectives that cannot always be distilled into mathematical models. Furthermore, the discussion acknowledges the evolving regulatory landscape surrounding AI in public administration, pointing to the need for adaptive legal frameworks that can accommodate algorithmic governance without undermining fundamental democratic values.

Throughout the book, the complexities of algorithmic decision-making in procurement are unpacked with meticulous attention to the legal, ethical, and operational dimensions of AI adoption. The role of government agencies in shaping the trajectory of neural network applications is analyzed, emphasizing that technology alone does not dictate outcomes; rather, it is the policies governing AI deployment that determine its effectiveness and fairness. By dissecting the architecture of procurement algorithms, the book presents a compelling argument for the development of AI systems that are not only efficient but also accountable to the public. The discussion on data governance is particularly striking, as it highlights the risks associated with poor data quality, systemic biases, and the potential manipulation of training sets. The text emphasizes that procurement algorithms are only as good as the data they rely upon, and if underlying datasets reflect historical inequities or inefficiencies, AI may simply reinforce existing problems rather than solve them. This insight is critical in understanding why some AI-driven procurement systems fail to deliver on their promises, as flawed or incomplete data can lead to erroneous contract awards, exclusion of qualified vendors, or the reinforcement of monopolistic tendencies. The book takes a rigorous approach in illustrating how procurement models should be continuously audited and updated to ensure they remain aligned with principles of fairness, competition, and transparency. In this regard, the analysis goes beyond the technical dimensions of AI and probes deeper into the governance structures that must accompany its implementation.

Another key theme explored is the role of public-private partnerships in AI-driven procurement. Many governments, lacking in-house technical expertise, turn to private technology firms to develop and maintain their procurement algorithms. While this collaboration can accelerate AI adoption, it also raises concerns about vendor lock-in and the privatization of essential government functions. The book scrutinizes cases where reliance on proprietary AI models has led to opacity in decision-making, as government officials struggle to understand the logic behind contract awards generated by externally managed systems. This challenge is particularly pronounced in cases where procurement decisions are contested, and legal challenges require a clear articulation of how an AI model arrived at a particular recommendation. The discussion presents various policy approaches for mitigating these risks, including open-source procurement algorithms, regulatory oversight bodies, and mechanisms for human intervention in AI-driven decisions. The tension between efficiency and accountability is a recurring theme, as the adoption of AI in procurement is often framed as a means of eliminating inefficiencies, yet its implementation introduces new governance dilemmas that require careful consideration. The book provides an in-depth examination of AI ethics in public finance, advocating for frameworks that ensure algorithmic

fairness while still harnessing the benefits of automation. Ethical AI in procurement, as discussed, must go beyond compliance with legal norms and actively integrate safeguards against discriminatory practices, exclusionary bidding processes, and disproportionate impacts on small businesses.

The broader implications of AI in government spending extend beyond procurement, touching on fiscal policy, budget allocation, and economic planning. The book presents a forward-looking perspective on how AI-driven governance may shape public administration in the coming decades, with procurement serving as an entry point for broader applications. While the focus remains on contract allocation, the discussion hints at a future where AI could influence taxation, social welfare distribution, and infrastructure planning. The prospect of AI making financial decisions on behalf of governments raises profound questions about accountability and human oversight, as decisions once made through political processes become increasingly data-driven. The potential for AI to optimize government spending is undeniable, yet the book remains cautious in assuming that efficiency alone equates to good governance. The need for policy safeguards, regulatory adaptations, and ongoing evaluation of AI's societal impact is emphasized, ensuring that technological advancements do not erode democratic accountability.

The expansion of algorithmic decision-making in public procurement represents both an opportunity and a challenge for government institutions tasked with balancing efficiency, fairness, and public trust. As the book illustrates, neural networks have the potential to revolutionize procurement by identifying cost-effective vendors, reducing bureaucratic inefficiencies, and enhancing transparency. However, the extent to which AI-driven procurement can achieve these outcomes depends largely on the quality of its underlying data and the regulatory structures that oversee its implementation. While traditional procurement processes have long been criticized for inefficiencies, corruption risks, and human biases, the shift toward algorithmic governance does not inherently eliminate these issues—it merely redistributes them in new forms. The book makes it clear that AI, when improperly managed, can introduce new biases, obscure decision-making, and create accountability gaps that may be difficult to resolve in legal or ethical terms. The assumption that machine learning models operate in an objective manner is critically examined, with numerous examples demonstrating how flawed datasets and opaque algorithmic logic can perpetuate inequalities rather than rectify them.

Procurement decisions, by their nature, are not merely about cost minimization but also involve social, economic, and political considerations that cannot always be reduced to numerical optimization. Therefore, the book urges caution in the adoption of neural networks, advocating for a hybrid model where AI serves as an assistive tool rather than an unquestioned decision-maker. By maintaining a degree of human oversight and ensuring that procurement algorithms remain interpretable, governments can harness the benefits of AI while mitigating the risks of unaccountable automation.

The book also underscores the broader implications of integrating AI into government spending beyond procurement, signaling a future in which algorithmic decision-making could reshape entire

Stratford Peer Reviewed Journals and Book Publishing
Journal of Procurement & Supply Chain
Volume 9||Issue 1||Page 49-54 ||March||2025|
Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2717-3581

public financial management systems. If procurement can be automated through machine learning, similar approaches could extend to budget allocations, tax policy enforcement, and even social service distribution. While such a transformation could theoretically enhance efficiency and eliminate human biases, it also raises fundamental governance concerns regarding who ultimately controls fiscal decision-making. The reliance on AI to allocate public resources introduces a shift in power from elected officials and public administrators to algorithmic systems developed and maintained by technology firms or government agencies with varying levels of technical expertise. This transition necessitates a robust framework of accountability mechanisms, ensuring that AI-driven financial decisions remain aligned with public interest objectives rather than becoming tools of unchecked technocratic control.

The book examines the regulatory gaps that currently exist in AI governance, particularly in the context of procurement, and highlights the urgent need for legislation that delineates the boundaries of algorithmic authority. Without clear legal frameworks, governments may find themselves relying on procurement models that lack transparency, making it difficult to address grievances from vendors or ensure that public contracts are awarded in a manner consistent with legal and ethical standards. The discussion extends to international examples, showcasing how different jurisdictions are grappling with the challenges of integrating AI into public financial systems, with varying degrees of success.

The ethical dimensions of AI-driven procurement are particularly compelling, as the book emphasizes that fairness and accountability must remain central considerations in any technological adoption. While efficiency gains are often the primary justification for implementing neural networks in procurement, the text warns against prioritizing speed and cost savings at the expense of democratic oversight. In many instances, the opacity of AI-generated decisions has led to legal disputes, particularly when government contracts are awarded or denied based on algorithmic assessments that lack clear justification. The book advocates for the development of AI procurement models that incorporate transparency measures, such as explainable AI (XAI) frameworks, which allow government officials and auditors to understand the rationale behind algorithmic decisions.

Additionally, the discussion highlights the importance of inclusive procurement policies that prevent AI from inadvertently favoring large corporations with extensive digital footprints while excluding smaller or newer vendors that may not have the historical data required for algorithmic evaluation. The book presents concrete policy recommendations, including mandatory audits of AI-driven procurement systems, stakeholder consultations, and the establishment of independent oversight bodies to review algorithmic decision-making processes. By embedding these safeguards into procurement policies, governments can ensure that the benefits of AI are realized without undermining public confidence in state spending mechanisms.

Ultimately, the book presents a nuanced analysis of the intersection between AI, public procurement, and governance, arguing that the future of algorithmic decision-making in government spending will be determined not just by technological advancements but by the

regulatory and ethical choices made by policymakers. The widespread adoption of neural networks in procurement is not a question of if but when, making it imperative for governments to proactively design frameworks that prioritize transparency, fairness, and accountability.

Conclusion

While AI holds the potential to enhance procurement efficiency and reduce corruption, its deployment must be accompanied by rigorous oversight to prevent unintended consequences. The book leaves readers with a critical reflection on the evolving nature of governance in the digital age, highlighting the need for a balanced approach that integrates AI's capabilities without relinquishing human oversight. As governments continue to explore AI-driven solutions for public finance management, the core challenge will be to ensure that these technologies serve the public good rather than merely optimizing financial outcomes. The future of public procurement will not be determined by AI alone but by the institutional safeguards that govern its use, making it essential for policymakers to engage in ongoing discourse about the ethical and legal dimensions of algorithmic governance in state spending.